1	KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321) United States Attorney
2	EUMI CHOI (WVSBN 0722) Acting Chief, Criminal Division
4 5 6 7	EDWARD TORPOCO (CSBN 200653) Assistant United States Attorney  450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-7071 FAX: (415) 436-7234
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
11	
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) No.: CR 05-00400 SI
13	Plaintiff, ) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
14	v. ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM SPEEDY TRIAL CALCULATION
15	ANDRE BENARD,
16	Defendant.
17	
18	With the agreement of the parties, the Court enters this Order excluding the time period
19	from October 25, 2005, through December 1, 2005 under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C.
20	§ 3161. The parties agree, and the Court finds and holds, as follows:
21	1. The parties appeared before the Court on September 23, 2005, for a status hearing.
22	Defense counsel requested that the firearm at issue in this case be tested for the presence of
23	DNA. The United States agreed to submit the firearm for DNA testing on an expedited basis and
24	did so during the first week of October after collecting saliva swabs from the Defendant (with his
25	consent and the consent of defense counsel). According to the San Francisco Police Department
26	Crime Lab, the DNA analysis will take between six and eight weeks to complete.
27	2. Because the Defendant wishes to know the outcome of the DNA testing before
28	proceeding further in this matter, the parties jointly request that the Court continue the status
	STIPULATION AND ORDER

1	hearing in this case from October 25, 2005, at 11:00 a.m. to December 1, 2005, at 11:00 a.m., the
2	next calendar date that both the Court and all of the parties are available. The government
3	anticipates, based on the estimate provided by the crime lab, that the DNA testing will have been
4	completed by December 1st.
5	3. In light of the foregoing facts, the parties stipulate that the failure to grant the
6	requested continuance would unreasonably deny the Defendant effective preparation of counsel
7	taking into account the exercise of due diligence, that the ends of justice would be served by the
8	Court excluding the proposed time period, that these ends outweigh the best interest of the public
9	and the Defendant in a speedy trial, and that the time period from October 25, 2005 through
10	December 1, 2005 shall be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculation. 18 U.S.C. §
11	3161(h)(8)(A), (B)(iv).
12	SO STIPULATED.
13	
14	DATED: October 24, 2005 // // // // // // // // // // // // //
15	Attorney for Defendant Andre Benard
16	
17	DATED: October 24, 2005 /S/ EDWARD TORPOCO  EDWARD TORPOCO
18	Assistant United States Attorney
19	In light of the foregoing facts, and with the consent of the parties, the Court hereby orders
20	that the period from October 25, 2005 through December 1, 2005 be excluded from the Speedy
21	Trial Act calculation under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A), B(iv).
22	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
23	STES DISTRICT
24	DATED: HON. SUSAN ILLSTON
25	United States District Judge
26	GRANTED
27	
28	STIPULATION AND ORDER CR 05-00400 SI